



# *CE Linux Forum*

## Participating in Open Source

Tim Bird

Chair, Architecture Group  
of the CE Linux Forum



# Overview

- Goal is to encourage you to participate in Open Source
- How to participate
  - Procedures and behaviours
- Why participate
  - Reasons to participate



# “Community Effect”

- The “Community Effect” is the result from having multiple people evaluate and use the code.
- “Community Effect” is main reason for success of open source.
- Almost all guidelines for participating are meant to make it easier for other developers to evaluate and use your code



# Guidelines for Participation

- Two major categories of guidelines:
  - **Mechanics** – style, formatting, transmission, etc.
  - **Persuasion** – convincing others of the value of your code



# Mechanics of Participation

- Keep current
- Make sure code style is good
- Use correct patch format
- Submit to correct place
- Use correct e-mail format
- Resources for formatting and conventions



# Keeping Up To Date

- Keeping current is critical
  - If patches are not current, number of people who will use them is very small
  - Result is NO community effect
  - Kernel moves fastest – is project with biggest problem
- Is difficult challenge for embedded developers
  - CE product teams tend to “freeze” their kernel version
  - Many developers are still using 2.4.xx kernels
- Common solution is for a platform team to keep current, while product teams stay with older kernel through their development cycle



## Coding Style

- See *Documentation/CodingStyle*
- Overview
  - Brace placement
  - Whitespace (use tabs = 8 chars)
    - But, lines must be less than 80 chars!
  - Variable and function naming
  - Use of goto for error handling
  - Comment style
  - Avoidance of conditional (#ifdefs)
- Best thing is to look at existing code!



# Brace Placement

```
if (conditional) {  
    statements;  
} else {  
    statements;  
}
```

```
<function qualifiers> function(args)  
{  
    statements;  
}
```





# Variable and Function Names

- Examples of bad variable names:
  - ThisVariableNameIsReallyTooLong
  - tshrt
- Use underscores to separate words
  - e.g. disk\_count
- Should be descriptive:
  - “foo” is bad
  - “i” and “tmp” are acceptable with limited scope
- Variables are nouns, function names usually have a verb phrase:
  - disk\_count and get\_free\_space()
- No Hungarian notation



## Use “goto” for Error Handling

```
function () {
    item1 = allocate();
    if (error) {
        goto error_out;
    }
    item2 = allocate();
    if (error) {
        goto free1_error_out;
    }
    item3 = allocate();
    if (error) {
        goto free2_error_out;
    }
    /* more stuff */

free2_error_out:
    free(item2);
free1_error_out:
    free(item1);
error_out:
    return error;
}
```



# Correct Patch Format

- Use “diff -pruN linux-2.x.x.orig linux-new”
- Make patch from one level above kernel source directory
- Make patch between full original tree and modified tree
- Make sure to omit generated files
  - Use “-X dontdiff”, or better yet, put your build files somewhere outside source tree (use KBUILD\_OUTPUT)



# Submit To Correct Place

- Check MAINTAINERS file
- For CPU architecture sites, see:
  - <http://tree.celinuxforum.org/CelfPubWiki/LinuxKernelResources>
- If in doubt, ask where to submit on Linux Kernel Mailing List (LKML)
  - `linux-kernel@vger.kernel.org`
- If no one knows, submit patch to LKML



# Use Correct E-mail Style

- Subject line:
  - [PATCH *x/y*] <*one-line summary*>
- Description
  - Include explanation of reason for change!
- Patch itself, inline in message body
  - Don't use attachment
  - Make sure your mailer doesn't word-wrap
  - Kernel developers want to be able to quote plaintext



# Resources For Help with Mechanics

- The CE Linux Forum Patch Howto
  - <http://tree.celinuxforum.org/CelfPubWiki/PatchSubmissionHowto>
- Kernel source tree docs:
  - Documentation/CodingStyle
  - Documentation/SubmittingPatches.
- Andrew Morton's "perfect patch" guidelines:
  - <http://www.zip.com.au/~akpm/linux/patches/stuff/tpp.txt>
- Jeff Garzik's guidelines:
  - <http://linux.yyz.us/patch-format.html>



# Persuading Other Developers

- Start with explanation of problem
- Make sure code is as general as possible
- Listen carefully to criticism
  - Try to resolve issues as quickly as possible
- **BE PERSISTENT!!!!**



# Explain Your Problem

- Explain your requirement (power management, bootup time, size, etc.)
- Other developers need to understand **WHY** you want this change
- Sometimes, other developers will suggest a better solution to your problem
- Even if your patch is not accepted, you have communicated a need (which might get solved in the future)





# Generalizing the code

- Try to make feature useful for:
  - Other CPU architectures
  - Desktop and server developers
- This can be costly
  - Don't overdo it
  - It is better to submit a specific patch, and get feedback, then to wait forever
- “Perfect” is the enemy of “good enough”



## Persistence

- Submit your change
- If no answer, submit it again
- Even experienced kernel developers must submit multiple times
- Listen to feedback
  - Try to understand and address all feedback
  - Sometimes, feedback is very terse (brief)



# Miscellaneous Advice

- Start small
  - There's a lot of process here
  - Try to separate learning the process from dealing with technical issues
  - Start with a small bugfix
- Submit early
  - Avoid investing heavily in code that may not be accepted into mainline



# Reasons to Participate

- Strategic – Long term industry benefits
  - Build ecosystem
  - Increase size of commoditized portion of software stack in products
    - Decrease overall software cost in product
  - Increased control of software in products
- Tactical – Short term, direct benefits
- Moral – Give back to community



# Reason to Publish

Note that the GPL License gives you a legal obligation only to publish, not to participate in the community



# Ecosystem Thought Exercise



# Magic Bowl Game

- Rules:
  - Each person starts with 100,000 won
  - On each round, any person may put some money in the bowl
  - At end of round, everyone in room receives  $\frac{1}{3}$  the amount of money in the bowl
  - Person with most money at end of game wins



# Strategies for Game

- A – Let others give, and only take for yourself
- B – Give lots and hope others give also
- C – Agree on amount to give, or somehow enforce giving





# Observations

- Game models “community effect”
- Fraction received is lower in embedded community, because of fragmentation
- If not enough players, and fraction is too low, it is not worth putting money in bowl
  - e.g. 2 players, and only get 1/3 of money
- Difficult to convince management of strategies B or C



Need to recognize selfish reasons  
to participate in Open Source



# Other Reasons to Participate

- Other people will:
  - Test your code
  - Fix bugs in your code
  - Make improvements and extensions
    - Or at least suggest them
  - Maintain your code
- This directly improves your quality and decreases your costs.



# Benefit Examples

- Testing and Bugfixes
  - Printk-times patch was tested by other kernel developers, with obscure SCSI options, and a bug was found
  - Testing wouldn't have happened in forum
  - I received patch with actual fix, not just a bug report



# Benefit Examples (cont.)

- Improvements
  - Preset-LPJ patch was “taken over” by another developer, who improved it for fun
  - 3 or 4 people made suggestions and improvements
    - Restructured how option was specified
    - Printed value so configuration was easier
    - Added to kernel documentation, improved configuration help text
    - Fixed race condition



# Benefit Examples (cont.)

- Maintenance
  - Preset-LPJ patch modified code that had not changed in years
  - Didn't appear to be maintenance problem
  - A few weeks after patch was accepted, the code was moved and changed by another developer
  - CELF group did not have to do anything!



## Fun and skills

- Other benefits – hard to measure
  - It's fun!!
  - It builds skills
    - Communicating interactively with very good engineers helps build your engineering skills
    - It's good to hear what you are doing wrong, even if it is painful



# Role of CE Linux Forum

- Forum exists to help build ecosystem
  - We want to create the “Magic Bowl”
  - CELF tries to build community for CE engineers
  - Try to help companies find others interested in their features
  - Try to help build bridge from members to community
  - Try to reduce fragmentation (and thus increase “community effect”) for embedded space.





# Why Participate?

You will be better

Your company will benefit

The world will be a better place



***CE Linux Forum***

**Thanks**